

Approved Minutes

PEITC

Public Education Information Technology Committee

Sub-Committee of ICTL

Idaho Council for Technology in Learning

650 West State Street, Room B2

Boise, ID

April 14, 2003

Attendance

Committee Members and Guests:

Pete Black
Vickie Chandler – *not present*
Paula Conley – *not present*
Karen Ganske
Christopher Gibson – *not present*
Dan Hawkins
Bill Leaf, *PEITC Chair*
Carolyn Mauer
Deb McGrath
Ray Mikelson
David Breithaup

Greg Eck
Sue Smith
Jean Bengfort
Bonnie Farmin
Tammy Neptune
Jim Marconi
Dawn Wilson
Gretchen Rader
Tracie Zalucha
Nancy Szofran

The Public Education Information Technology Committee meeting began at 9:10 am. PEITC Chair, Bill Leaf asked everyone present to introduce themselves.

8th Grade Student Technology Standards

The committee, along with members of the 8th Grade Student Technology Standards Task Force, discussed the next steps for technology standards since the ICTL asked the committee to devise a plan for K-12 technology standards to be submitted along with the 8th grade Technology Standards.

Nancy Szofran reviewed policies and procedures of the State Board of Education for consideration of the committee during the K-12 technology standards planning process. She stated that if students are required to meet these standards, then steps must be taken to make a State Board rule. There are many options if the standards are not required of students. The Executive

Director of the State Board, Gary Stivers, supports K-12 technology standards, but has concerns regarding accountability and implementation.

The following plan was devised by the PEITC committee and the 8th Grade Technology Standards Task Force:

8th Grade Technology Standards: On April 14, 2003, the Public Education Information Technology Committee (PEITC, K-12 subcommittee of ICTL) met with the 8th grade Technology Standards Task Force and voted to accept the drafted 8th grade Technology Standards. Next steps include taking the standards plan to ICTL on May 29, 2003 for vote to move forward and present to the State Board. The 8th grade Technology Standards meet Federal requirements and are the only grade required to have standards.

Motion 1

Karen Ganske moved to accept the draft 8th grade Technology Standards. Ray Mikelson seconded the motion, vote was taken and all approved.

Grades K-7: The State Department will make recommendations for technology benchmarks to be implemented by the districts.

- ✓ Task Force will meet on May 6, 2003 to recommend benchmarks
- ✓ PEITC will meet to review benchmarks on May 19, 2003
- ✓ Benchmarks will be presented to ICTL May 29, 2003
(along with 8th grade Technology Standards as approved by the K-12 subcommittee and the K-12 Technology Standards Plan)

Grades 9-12: To be discussed beginning the Fall 2003 school year.

Assessment: Assurance of assessment is responsibility of district.

- ✓ Recommend a Board Rule Amendment to give the district responsibility of accessing the technology. The State has done this in Social Studies, Science, Humanities and Health.
- ✓ ISIMS would allow the capability to track the performance.

Integration: The State Department will provide integration guidance documents to districts.

- ✓ Courses of study will have integrated technology standards and K-7 benchmarks by July 15, 2003.

- ✓ Curriculum, integration and technology specialists will be consulted to help create the courses of study.

Future Goals:

- ✓ Take to Legislature in 2004
 - First, need draft ready for Aug. 14th State Board Meeting
 - Final version must be presented at Oct. 2nd State Board Meeting and then must be approved to go to Legislature.
- ✓ Implement 8th grade Tech Standards and K-7 Technology benchmarks for 2005-06 school year
- ✓ Implement 9-12 Standards/Benchmarks by 2006-07 school year

Ed.Net

Nancy Szofran gave a presentation on Ed.Net to the committee. She first discussed the background development of Ed.Net and its status today as a proposal for an education network that connects higher education, K-12 education, state agencies and business. Creating a centralized system will lower costs since access will be a group rate rather than an individual rate. At present IBM and Ceristar are developing a feasibility study of the seven higher education institutions. All seven higher education institutions must agree to participate before the next steps will be taken towards making Ed.Net a reality.

State Technology Plan

Dawn updated the committee on the progress of the State Technology Plan. The Task Force met in January and March of 2003 to draft the mission, vision, six goals and objectives for the plan. A writing team was selected from the group and will be meeting on April 17, 2003 in order to put the goals in a common format. This revised format will be presented to the State Technology Plan Task Force and will then be distributed for public comment.

Dawn then reviewed the 2003 draft, on-line technology survey for input.

Updates

Deb McGrath informed the committee that, as discussed at the last IETA meeting, the Deans will present a recommendation at the ICTL meeting on May 29, 2003 to change the role of Regional Technology Advisors (RTAs). They will suggest that a

Peer Committee be developed to review district reports and RTAs serve solely in an advisory capacity to the districts.

Adjournment

Motion 2

Ray Mikelson moved to accept the last PEITC meeting minutes from November 12, 2002. Deb McGrath seconded the motion, vote was taken and all approved.

The next meeting was set for May 19, 2003. The meeting was adjourned by Chair, Bill Leaf at 1:32 pm.